CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT AT ABINGDON, VA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

NOV	20	2020	
JULIATO, BY:	DTY C	Huc	•

MELINDA SCOTT,)	
Plaintiff)	
v)	Case No. 2:20cv14
)	
WISE COUNTY DEPT.)	
of SOCIAL SERVICES,)	
et al)	

MOTION TO JOIN IN DEFENDANTS

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, in accordance with Rule 19, and requests that this honorable court grant the Plaintiff leave to join in the following parties as Defendants *and* for the reasons that follow:

- (1) Wise County Board of Supervisors
- (2) Wise County Social Services Board of Supervisors
- (3) Virginia Department of Social Services Commissioner, S. Duke Storen, in his official capacity
- (4) Virginia State Board of Social Services

The reasons for joining in the above mentioned four (4) additional Defendants is as follows:

(a) In order to prevent the undue burden upon the Plaintiff, and upon this court, of the Plaintiff re-filing a similarly duplicate case for constitutional violations, it is more reasonable to join in Defendants instead of distributing more paperwork and;

1 of 3 Motion Scott v Wise Co. Dept. of Soc. Serv., et. al

- (b) Prior to arguments the Plaintiff presented in her previous pleadings in *this case*, there was not a single case law in the Fourth District to address to matter of constitutional violations with respect to *initial home investigations* conducted by local departments of social services and;
- (c) Now that this court has ruled upon the status of a local social services being its own "governing body" when guided by a director, with regard to *initial home*investigations, the Plaintiff has only recently obtained available case law which provides clarification of the law, and because of its only recent availability should be granted leave to add in Defendants now that this court has clarified the law in some regard as to *initial home investigations* and local department of social services and;
- (d) Plaintiff previously argued before this court that Wise County Department of Social Services is it's own "governing board" because it is *not* listed under the publicly published organizational chart under Wise County Board of Supervisors however, this court declined to uphold that argument and;
- (e) Plaintiff previously argued before this court that "services" under Virginia Code 63.2-319 did *not* include *initial home investigations* however, this court declined to address or uphold that argument and;
- (f) Plaintiff previously argued before this court that Wise County Department of Social Services, including their Supervisors and Directors, were unilaterally making their own decisions regarding *initial home investigations* however, this court declined to uphold that argument and;
- (g) This court has determined that for the purpose of *initial home investigations*, including *unfounded* visits, Wise County Department of Social Services is being

2 of 3 Motion Scott v Wise Co. Dept. of Soc. Serv., et. al directed, controlled and governed by other governmental officers and bodies beyond

itself and;

(h) If this court has now only recently issued a new ruling determining that Wise County

Department of Social Services is being directed, controlled and governed by other

governmental bodies for the purpose of initial home investigations, then the

aforementioned four (4) Defendants are additionally responsible for the conduct of

case workers that come to residential homes for initial home investigations and;

(i) Therefore, now that this court has ruled on these arguments and matters, where there

lacked guidance previously by the lack of availability of any other case law in the

Fourth District addressing initial home investigations by local department of social

services, and;

(j) in order for the Plaintiff to request and obtain Declaratory Relief and damages for

constitutional violations, both past and future, there must be additional Defendants

named as the responsible parties controlling the decisions of Wise County

Department of Social Services who conducts initial home investigations.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Melinda Scott, pro-se

PO BOX 1133-2014PMB87

Richmond, VA 23218

mscottw@gmu.edu

540-692-2342

3 of 3 Motion

Scott v Wise Co. Dept. of Soc. Serv., et. al